

| | | |
|---|------------------------|------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/090,815 | ABROSIMOV, IGOR ANATOLIEVICH |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Linh M. Nguyen | 2816 |

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Linh M. Nguyen. (3) _____. _____

(2) Harold L. Marquis. (4) _____. _____

Date of Interview: 30 October 2006 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1,4,8 and 9

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A teleconference was held to clarify the claim language, specifically in claims 1, 4 , 8 and 9 in order to place the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)